

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,119	1	12/31/2003 W. Dale Hopkins		200308859-1	9767
22879	7590	10/04/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT	PACKA	RD COMPANY	PAIK, STEVE S		
P O BOX 27	2400, 340	4 E. HARMONY F	ROAD		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLL	INS CO	80527-2400	2876		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 11'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,119	HOPKINS, W. DALE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 <u>December 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-41</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10-12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>2-9,13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	` ` ' ' '		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/31/03</u> .	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 10-12 recites the limitation "the host", "the entity-identifier x" and "the entered PIN" in lines 2 and 4 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erfani et al. (US 20030196106 A1) in view of Balph et al. (US 5,631,962).

Re claims 1 and 14, Erfani et al. disclose a smart card that is adapted to partially include and employ a triply-secure algorithm for data exchange. The algorithm verifies a user's identity and his simultaneous membership in any groups that he has joined. The algorithm can be used in smart cards or in computer networks for identity verification and membership proof. A combination of three different hard problems is used. The first one is based on integer factorization, such as the RSA authenticating technique, and the second one is based on a discrete logarithm, and the third one is based on the coefficients of a polynomial function. In a

Art Unit: 2876

typical application using smart cards, a certification authority (CA) establishes requirements for preparation and issuance of a multi-purpose card.

However, Erfani et al. are silent about a PIN hidden in a polynomial as recited in claim 1.

Page 3

Balph et al. disclose an electronic key validation process with enhanced security by encrypting the security access codes. A key (an entity-selected PIN) receives data having a hidden polynomial select code and polynomial seed from a host (12). A locally stored (24, 26) select offset and seed offset in the key identifies the location (22) of the select code and seed in the data. The select code decodes (32) into polynomial coefficients which are used to configure a polynomial generator (34). The seed is loaded into the polynomial generator as a starting point of the polynomial (initialization polynomial). The polynomial generator is clocked a number of cycles to calculate a remainder. The select code is modified (28) to select a new polynomial, and the polynomial generator is clocked another number of cycles. The host runs a similar encryption algorithm. The remainder is sent to the host where it is compared with the host generated remainder for key validation. Balph's validation process improves protection of a secret code due to its additional encrypting process.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the encrypting key validation process as taught by Balph et al. into the teachings of Erfani et al. for the purpose of increasing the security of a PIN of a smart card or a computer network system.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15-41 are allowable.

Art Unit: 2876

6. Claims 2-9 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the cited prior art of the record discloses, teaches, or fairly suggests the claimed features comprising, among other things, generating an ephemeral transaction polynomial using a smart card at an entity activated terminal with an entity-entered PIN' enabling recovery from a polynomial over a finite field, the ephemeral transaction polynomial being a function of the entity-entered PIN', the entity-identifier, and a second random number.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

White et al. (US 5,513,263) disclose an access point that utilizes a first password to create an encryption key, then generates a plurality of secondary passwords for use by devices. User device classes are established by assigning a secondary password to a respective class of users, determining the network access permitted the class, determining the network services provided to the class and finally assigning the secondary password to a device seeking to communicate within the network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a-2:00p (Maxi-Flex*).

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven S. Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 Page 5

ssp